Rural Health Trends, Reform and National Outlook

Chris Collins, MSW Director, Office of Rural Health and Community Care



Population

Age diversity	Migration to urbanOlder population
Rural economic health	 Loss of key economic sectors Recession - rural employment lagging
Education	Lower educational attainment
Payer mix	 Less insurance coverage Increase reliance on Medicare and Medicaid
Health	Leads to increased illness burden





Access

Health professional shortage in primary care	 Expanding: Psychiatrists, dentist, general surgeons, new mid-levels Distribution
Provider recruitment through loan repayment	 Retention: Recruitment going upstream to medical schools Reform of general medical education (GME)
Building new independent rural health clinics	 Transforming safety net providers Rise in rural health clinics linked to critical access hospitals
Support use of primary care mid-levels	• New workforce types: Dental therapists, community health workers, clinical pharmacists, care coordinators
Primary medical services	 Prevention and behavioral change Whole-person focused: Mental, dental, long-term care, end of life and social supports
Defined by direct in-person services	• Technology-enabled: Phone, email, mobile applications and other telehealth services





Quality

Visit-based Chart audit or claims	 Big data: Population health, all-payer claims database, health information exchange, ICD-10
National Accreditation Standards HEDIS & NCQA measures	 Disease registries, patient-centered medical homes, meaningful use and accountable care organizations
Feedback was provider / practice level	 Transparency: Open data sets, public reporting
Agency level	 Patient level: Readmission penalties and patient experience Across systems: Care management, medication reconciliation; transitional care
Limited financial impact	Significant impact with move to pay for quality outcomes





Payment

Fee-for-service	 Watch Medicare - tying 30 percent of Medicare payments to value through alternative payment models by 2016, and 50 percent by 2018
	Pay for performance
	Capitated payments
Acute Relative value units	 New codes: Transitions and care coordination Primary care-based Rural ACOs
Contract negotiation vs cost-based	 Lean principals: Working professionals at the top of their licenses Medicare and BCBS make payment data available
Medical-based	 Patient-based: Allowing for funding for prevention; social support and patient preference





Risks

Timing of payment reform	 Unclear how health systems shut down high volume and cost services and continue to survive in fee-for-service payment system
Remaining number of uninsured and increasing number of underinsured	 Both populations tend to pass on preventive services leaving chronic conditions untreated and at risk for costly adverse events
Access to insurance = access to preventive health care	 Belief that everyone has coverage leads to the elimination of alternative funds
Collapse of acute systems before prevention and primary care are significantly enhanced	 No real investment in prevention Two years of 100% Medicare New codes in FFS for coordinated care (excludes RHCs)





Risks

Rural dependency on government coverage	 Primary care, safety net systems and small rural hospitals lack resources for infrastructure upgrades
Push to serve high density, well insured urban areas	 Large, well-funded health systems are not rushing to create services for poor rural populations
	 CAHs closing while new facilities are built closest to the metro area
Technology	EHRs are costly and become outdated
	 Sites have connectivity challenges and lack IT workforce
	 Telehealth requires payment and policy reform
Overreach	• Large systems buying up
	Capitation for unknown populations
	Capitated ACO is not your 90s MCO



Risks

Health care workforce	 Policies remain aligned to support professional self-interest Medical education remains unchanged
New tension within health care system	 FFS created no incentives to be interdependent In new system, others' behavior will impact your bottom line Rush for data and patients
Redundant new infrastructure	 Consider potentially repetitive software: Risk adjustment, care management, claims processing





Opportunities

Reduce poor quality and high costs that are unsustainable in an acute care model	 Most risk resides outside of rural providers who have to do a lot with a nickel Creates an opportunity for a higher investment in rural if payment is made based on high quality provided for high-risk patients
Rural reliance on government insurance	 If Medicare and Medicaid transform in a similar fashion, rural providers could be much quicker to transform their practices
Health care workforce	 Opportunity for new lower cost professions to significantly improve quality and reduce cost of care





Opportunities

Team-based care	 Increased access directly or through technology to comprehensive teams
Increased investment in wellness	 Healthy eating, active living, early screening and intervention, and disease management
Increasing attention to social supports	 Recognition and investment in social supports that improve health, such as housing, education and employment opportunities Rural providers can serve key leadership roles within their communities



Opportunities

Reduced administration	 If all payers are no longer truly FFS, then could see significant reduction in administrative costs and burden
Transparency	 Increasing employer and consumer choice Reduction in fraud
Patient engagement	 Increased recognition of patient role Rural providers have deep, personal and meaningful intergenerational relationships with their rural families



THANK YOU

Chris Collins, MSW Director Office of Rural Health and Community Care

Phone: 919-527-6450 Fax: 919-733-8300 <u>chris.collins@dhhs.nc.gov</u> <u>www.ncdhhs.gov/orhcc/</u>

